

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW APPLICATION

For Office Use Only				
STAFF CONTACT J. Floyd	PROJECT NO(S). AP-23-01/DR-2	3-01	PRE-APPLICATION NO.	
Non-Refundable Fee(s) \$400	REFUNDABLE DEPOSIT(S) n/a	TOTAL \$40	00	
Type of Review (Please check all that apply):				
 Annexation (ANX) Final Pla Appeal (AP) Flood M CDC Amendment (CDC) Historic Code Interpretation (MISC) Lot Line Conditional Use (CUP) Minor F Design Review (DR Modific Tree Easement Vacation (MISC) Expediated Land Division (ELD) Pranned Extension of Approval (EXT) Street M 	 Subdivision (SUB) Temporary Uses (MISC) Time Extension (EXT) Right of Way Vacation (VAC) Variance (VAR) Water Resource Area Protection/Single Lot (WAP) Water Resource Area Protection/Wetland (WAP) Willamette & Tualatin River Greenway (WRG) Zone Change (ZC) 			
Site Location/Address:		Assessor's Map No.:		
1919/1949 Willamette Falls Dr		Tax Lot(s):		
West Linn OR 97068		Total Land Area:		
Brief Description of Proposal: appeal of Planning Commission decision approving DR-23-01				
Applicant Name *: Ian Brown; Audra Brown		Phone: 53)780-1982		
Applicant Name*: Ian Brown; Audra Brow Address: 1968 6th Ave City State Zip: West Linn OR 97068		Email: brownwe itbeiant	stlinn@gmail.com prown.org	
Owner Name (required): Jan Brown; Audra	Brown	Phone: (503)78		
Address: 1968 6th Ave	Email: brown westlinn@gmail. itb@ianbrown.org		restlinn@gmail.com	
City State Zip: West Linn OR 97068		itb@ian	brown.org	
Consultant Name: Corrie Richter		Phone: (503) 972-9903		
Address: 1000 SW Broadway, Swite 19 City State Zin: Bastl 1 00 0000	0 Email: Crichter@bateman.seidel.com		bateman seidel. com	
City State Zip: Portland OR 97205 Crickter@batemanseidel.com				

- 1. Application fees are non-refundable (excluding deposit). Applications with deposits will be billed monthly for time and materials above the initial deposit. ***The applicant is financially responsible for all permit costs.**
- 2. The owner/applicant or their representative should attend all public hearings related to the propose land use.
- 3. A decision may be reversed on appeal. The decision will become effective once the appeal period has expired.
- 4. Submit this form, application narrative, and all supporting documents as a single PDF through the <u>Submit a Land Use Application</u> web page: <u>https://westlinnoregon.gov/planning/submit-land-use-application</u>

The undersigned property owner authorizes the application and grants city staff the **right of entry** onto the property to review the application. Applications with deposits will be billed monthly for time and materials incurred above the initial deposit. The applicant agrees to pay additional billable charges.

Applicant's signature

Date 3/11/24





NOTICE OF APPEAL

Appeal of Class II Design Review at 1919 and 1949 Willamette Falls Drive

Appellants:	lan and Audra Brown 1968 6th Ave West Linn OR 97068
Appellants' Representative:	Carrie Richter Bateman Seidel 1000 SW Broadway #1910 Portland, Oregon 97205
West Linn File No:	DR-23-01

Standing: Appellants Ian and Audra Brown testified orally and in writing before the Historic Review Board and the Planning Commission regarding this decision. They were provided notice of the Planning Commission's decision and have standing under CDC 99.140 to seek review by the City Council.

Grounds for Appeal: Acknowledging that Appellants do not have to identify all appeal issues in a de novo review, this appeal is likely to focus on the following:

- The elevator lobby and the enclosed rooftop stairwell comprise a 3rd story in violation of CDC 58.080(C)(3). These areas will be used for "human occupancy" and as such, are not "projections" subject to the CDC 41.030 exception to the height limit. These elements need to be removed from the proposal.
- 2) The condition imposed by the Planning Commission to address noise buffering requirements in CDC 55.100(C) and (D) lacks clarity and is insufficient. The adopted condition triggering completion of a noise study at 50% occupancy of the building and not requiring any occupancy of the rooftop patio at the time of the study will not ensure that noise from the patio is buffered. Further, imposing a condition prohibiting use of the rooftop patio by commercial customers for the consumption of food or beverages is feasible and could be enforced.

Appellants believe that these concerns can be resolved through revision of the conditions of approval.