

## SUMMARY NOTES for June 23<sup>th</sup> 2010 Infill/ PUD Task Force meeting

Willamette Room

Started 6:35

Ended 8:30

Attendees:

Chris Kerr  
Chris Sherland  
Barbara Baker  
Christine Steel  
Jerry Offer  
Thomas Boes (alt.)

DRAFT

Staff reminder that he will be out on FMLA leave so no meeting on July 14<sup>th</sup>.

- Review of agenda, agreement on formatting
- No public comments received
- TF discussion about scope of project -Design review option for SF? rezoning areas of City?. Staff states that these are not to be included as options (specifically called out in the scope and /or Comprehensive Plan)
- Staff points out that the principal development restriction on lots are the city's environmental reg's – particularly Chapt. 32.

Staff review of State/regional land use requirements / rules, particularly as they relate to code issues (highlights relevant areas of handout)

- 'Top-down' nature of state review
- Metro's role/responsibilities

Staff review of roles and relevance of City documents: Comprehensive Plan, various supporting documents, Neighborhood plans, vision document, TSP. Illustrates several inconsistencies and 'competing interests' between various policies and goals as well as the main points of agreement.

Staff review of relevant CDC sections – especially PUD chapter (purpose, applicability, criteria , 'clustering' of housing methodology)

Staff introduction of infill mapping exercise. Environmentally constrained lands. TF review of draft map and suggestions for further revisions. Staff to edit out public lands, breakdown site/site assessment of buildable areas of land and to identify specific infill / redevelopment sites. Brief discussion of UGB.

TF discussion:

- Existing Mixed use zoning district – location, successfulness
- Importance of permitting opportunities of less expensive, smaller homes – example mobile homes

- Staff reviewed 'monster home' restrictions
- Examples of alt. home type discussed from Portland and Old Town L. O.
- Staff to look into ADU's in the City.
- Cottage housing example provided
- Staff to review legality of senior housing restriction options

*POTENTIAL PARKING LOT ITEMS:*

Consideration of arch review (or variation) for SF homes.  
Consideration of identifying areas to be rezoned (esp. along corridor)  
ADU's not being successfully realized  
Need zoning that permits, identifies 'live-work' housing type  
Current MU district needs re-write. Not currently usable  
Type I and Type II language is confusing.