

Boyd, John

From: Axelrod, Russell
Sent: Tuesday, April 03, 2018 2:35 PM
To: Boyd, John
Subject: Conflicts with tonight's meeting

Hi John,

I wanted to let you and other CCI members know that I will not be at tonight's meeting while I address other pre-scheduled events tonight. I have a few brief comments to pass along to the CCI in my absence:

1. Land Use Process Report. I want to encourage the CCI to finalize the current report and move it forward so the additional work to address the land use process improvements can begin. The report is a great start to moving forward the process evaluation and to set the stage for solutions to the process that will be addressed in the next phase - the CCI should be very proud of this work effort. I want to encourage members not to get distracted on further solutions or fixes now and to know that there will be plenty of opportunity and time for additional discussion and input to integrate process refinements in the future. Once the report is submitted, the CCI also should be able to return to meetings once per month.

2. Meeting Notes. I see some questioning of meeting note preparation and want to convey that I think the meeting notes are actually very good overall, with all key topics normally included in the notes - some of the best notes we see taken. If anyone feels the need for every detail and nuance of a meeting or discussion they can simply listen to the meeting tape.

3. Bylaws. First I want to apologize to some members about the misunderstanding I had at a recent council meeting where I thought the CCI had already officially requested that Council clarify some of the language regarding the NAP chair and how NAP appointments were handled. It was never my attempt to misrepresent the CCI and some of the words that I used in a quick email related to the matter were taken in different context - not at all as I had intended - and I'm sorry about that. I also wanted to followup briefly on the topic of voting rights by CCI members. I believe the original bylaw structure was intended to follow that of a typical public committee established by an official appointment or designation process. In that regard, a "substitute" as it's referenced in the bylaws is always welcome to participate in a CCI meeting for a "delegate" or "appointee" for an occasional missed meeting, however, that is generally different than transferring voting rights of an official "delegate" or "appointee" member to an occasional "substitute." The stricter structure is normally intended to strengthen the role of any "delegate" or "appointee" and limit the opportunity for potential abuse or undesired malfeasance by any member(s) or group(s). We certainly want to encourage participation in the CCI. At the same time it's important to preserve some formal structure to ensure integrity of the CCI. I think some further discussion on the approach is worth having, and it might be helpful to consult with the assistant attorney as to pros/cons or other aspects the CCI might want to see preserved?

Happy to discuss any aspects with anyone/anytime.

Thanks,
Russ

Russell Axelrod

Mayor

City Council

[#6002](#)



Please consider the impact on the environment before printing a paper copy of this email.
This e-mail is subject to the State Retention Schedule and may be made available to the public