

1. Reference to application # DR-14-D2
2C-14-02
2. We have standing to seek review as the applicant in this proceeding
3. a. HRB erred by finding the replacement garage does not meet CDC 25.060A1, CDC 25.060A2, CDC 25.060A5, 25.060A6 CDC 25.060.A8
- b. With respect to "approved w/ conditions" to the former, HRB erred by finding that ^{the} only condition of the application ~~that~~ is that allows criteria to be met was ~~the~~ proposed set back of the former as set forth in ~~the~~ staff ~~report~~ recommendation.
- also a procedural irregularity ~~the~~ HRB didn't let ~~the~~ record to be left open despite a written request was presented by applicant at the time of ~~meeting~~ hearing.

These are the only issues we are asking to be appealed. the ~~roof~~ garage + dormer decision.

- I am not asking for the record to be left open or to be reopened but I'm asking for the city council to send the decision back to the HRB

We felt we didn't have the proper understanding of when, how & what to present. It was difficult to hear and understand the procedures and we feel if we had the chance to express ourselves ~~and~~ we would have presented better information and been able to reach a different conclusion. It was very confusing. despite the formal process.

We had expected the HRB to discuss alternatives and discuss design options that would have had a better outcome for us and met the criteria of the HRB and address.

Please accept our appeal,
Kristin Lewis