

December 4, 2013

City of West Linn Mr. Tom Soppe Associate Planner 22500 Salamo Road West Linn, OR 97068

SUBJECT: HARPER'S TERRACE (SUB-13-05)

Dear Tom,

I am writing on behalf of JT Smith Companies to request that the application for the Subdivision of Harper's Terrace property (SUB-13-05) be deemed complete upon receipt of this letter. We have prepared this correspondence as well as a revised preliminary development plan set (dated November 21, 2013) and we believe that the revised plans adequately address the comments provided by the City in the October 29, 2013 incompleteness notification letter. We believe that all issues have been satisfactorily resolved within our resubmission to allow for the initiation of the City's formal project review.

The following has been provided to document our responses to each of the requests listed within the City's October 29, 2013 incompleteness notification. Code Sections and Staff comments have been listed to the left and the Applicant's responses have been provided to the right:

Planning Comments						
Code Section	Staff Comment	Applicant's Response				
85.160(A)	Provide city-wide map identifying the site.	The Applicant has provided a vicinity map on the cover page of the project plan set.				
85.160(E)(5)	Show on plans the percentage of Non-Type I and II land that significant tree "dripline +10 feet" areas currently cover, as well as what percentage they would cover after development.	The Applicant has revised the plans to show the requested calculations.				
85.160(E)(8)	Clarify that the zoning on surrounding properties is the same.	The surrounding properties are zoned R- 10. This detail has not been shown on a revised plat drawing but it has been confirmed through a review of the City's Zoning Maps.				
85.170(A)(8)	Show all slope categories from 55.110(B)(3) including (c-e)	The Applicant has revised the Slope Analysis Plan on Sheet C1.3 to include all slope categories from 55.110(B)(3)(c-e)				
85.200(E)(1,5,7)	Some areas appear to exceed these standards; adjust or respond as to how the "physical conditions demonstrate the propriety of other standards" per 85.200(E). The site does contain areas that are "landslide hazard areas" on	Regarding 85.200(E)(5), the Applicant has provided a letter from the project's Geotechnical Engineer as an attachment to this document. The Geotech has addressed the potential landslide hazard on this property and has concluded that hazard overlay is insignificant in this area. Regarding 85.200(E)(7), the Applicant is in				

Map 16 Landslide Vulnerability Analysis so include this in narrative responding to 5 and 7.	discussions with a neighboring property owner about an orphaned strip of property between the Applicant's property and taxlot 116. The Applicant has designed the site with the belief that the four foot strip of property will be dedicated to the Applicant. If the orphaned strip is eventually incorporated into the Applicant's final plat, the grading plan shown will be in compliance with the provisions of this code. If the property is not incorporated into the Applicant's final plant, the final grading plans for the property will be adjusted to be setback from the adjoining property by at least three feet, plus one- fifth of the vertical height of the fill.

In addition to the planning completeness comments, we note that several comments were included within the engineering comment section of the incompleteness letter. 3J has received these comments as items which will need to be resolved during the construction document phase of the project. It has been confirmed by the City Engineer that the comments will not prevent the City from reviewing the preliminary development plans. 3J's final construction plans will address these comments to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Finally, staff has requested that the applicant consider an access option to the site which would realign the proposed driveway to try to retain a significant fir tree (#3053) which is currently positioned within the center of the access drive. The Applicant has explored the option of realigning the driveway that will provide access to the four lots from Gloria Drive. The Applicant and the Applicant's arborist have concluded that the impacts to the subject tree from the widening of Gloria Drive and the placement of a sidewalk along Gloria will prevent the Applicant from retaining the tree. As such, the realignment of the driveway will not facilitate the desired retention.

The Applicant has submitted an exhibit detailing the impacts to the critical root zone of this tree from the construction of the roadway and the sidewalk. The tree's root zone along the roadway will be subject to significant construction impacts within three feet of the base of this tree and it is considered to be too risky to retain this tree following the construction of Gloria. The Applicant's proposed plan will still be able to comply with the requirements of section 55.100.B.2.f in that a minimum of 20% of the area of significant tree canopy will be retained in easement and trees removed for roadways shall be mitigated for.



Page 3 of 3 December 4, 2013 Harpers Terrace

We trust that these responses and materials will assist in the City's favorable evaluation of the land use application. Please feel free to contact us with any questions that you may have. We will be ready to respond to any questions or requests for any further clarification.

Sincerely,

Andrew Tull Senior Planner 3J Consulting, Inc.

Attachments:

Revised Slope Analysis Plan Revised Tree Plan Geotechnical Evaluation of Landslide Hazard Overlay

copy: Mr. John Wyland, JT Smith Companies Mr. Mike Robinson, Perkins Coie Mr. Brian Feeney, 3J Consulting, Inc File





DATE: November 25, 2013

TO: John Wyland (J.T. Smith Companies); Andrew Tull and Brian Feeney (3J Consulting)

FROM: Morgan E. Holen, Consulting Arborist

RE: Arborist Recommendations for Tree 3053 Harper's Terrace Subdivision – West Linn, Oregon

MHA1311

At the request of J.T. Smith Companies, we coordinated with 3J Consulting to analyze a conceptual access plan for the Harper's Terrace Subdivision project in West Linn in an effort to provide sufficient protection tree 3053. This tree is a 36-inch diameter Douglas-fir, which was classified as potentially significant but recommended for removal for the purposes of construction in the original arborist report dated October 3, 2013.

The alternative driveway design would create a U-shaped, divided access driveway for the proposed lots and tree 3053 would fall within the planter area created by the U-shaped driveway. Based on this layout, it appears that the proposed driveway grades surrounding tree 3053 could be maintained at elevations which would avoid significant excavation and limit disturbance within eight to ten feet of tree 3053. Some construction would still be required beneath the dripline, but the impacts could be minimized during driveway construction.

Although the alternative driveway design would reduce tree impacts along the eastern side of the critical root zone, the site plan would still require the placement of a sidewalk and public utility easement south of the tree, along the eastern edge of Gloria Drive. Installing the required sidewalk will require cuts between three to four feet along the southern side of the tree trunk, within two feet of the trunk of tree 3053. Opportunities to meander the sidewalk alignment away from the tree or raise the sidewalk elevation above the critical root zone are not feasible as the elevation of the sidewalk and curbs is predetermined by the existing finished elevation of Gloria Drive. Based upon the cuts necessary to provide the sidewalk along the southern side of the tree and the potential for significant impacts within the critical root zone, we continue to recommend removal of tree 3053 for the purposes of construction because adequate protection is not possible.

Please contact us if you have questions or need any additional information or assistance. Thank you for the opportunity to provide consulting arborist services for the Harper's Terrace Subdivision project.

Sincerely, Morgan Holen & Associates, LLC

Morgan E/Holen, Owner ISA Certified Arborist, PN-6145A ISA Tree Risk Assessment Qualified Forest Biologist



TREE INVE	NTORY					/ <i>13</i> DATE			
SURVEY POINT NUMBER	TREE SPECIES	NOMINAL CALIPER SIZE (INCHES)	PROPOSED ACTION	SIGNIFICANT DESIGNATION	REMOVE DUE TO CONDITION	10/01 3Y [
2715	EUROPEAN WHITE BIRCH	14	REMOVE	NO	INVASIVE SPECIES				
2716	EUROPEAN WHITE BIRCH	14	REMOVE	NO	INVASIVE SPECIES				
2717	EUROPEAN WHITE BIRCH	12	REMOVE	NO	INVASIVE SPECIES				
3049	LODGE POLE PINE	17	REMOVE	NO	MECHANICAL DAMAGE	ARY			
3050	PRINCESS TREE	41	REMOVE FROM ROW	NO	INVASIVE SPECIES	NMM			
3051	BLACK COTTONWOOD	40	REMOVE	NO	BROKEN TOP,	S NOIS			
3052	DOUGLAS FIR	24	SAVE	NO					
3053		36	REMOVE	NO	NO MAJOR DEFECTS	RESE			
3054	SPRUCE	16	REMOVE		POOR STEM	ECK			
3055	RED AL DER	27	REMOVE	NO	FORKED TOP	CHI #			
3056	GRAND FIR	13	REMOVE	NO	BRANCH DECAY FORKED TOP		I		
2057		10	DEMOVE		BRANCH DECAY				
3037		10	REINOVE	NO	POOR CROWN				
3058	RED ALDER	24	REMOVE	NO	MULTI NEW TOPS				
3059	RED ALDER	18	REMOVE	NO	12 DEG. LEAN				
3060	RED ALDER	18	REMOVE	NO	BASAL, STEM DECAY				
3061	RED ALDER	18	REMOVE	NO	BASAL, STEM DECAY				
3062	RED ALDER	14	REMOVE	NO	MECH. DAMAGE, DECAY		$\overline{()}$		
3063	RED ALDER	18	REMOVE	NO	OVER-GROWN IVY	$ \Sigma $		ı	
3064	BIGLEAF MAPLE	23	SAVE IF POSSIBLE	NO	8 DEG. LEAN, DECAY	Η		Ζ	
3065	DOUGLAS FIR	6	REMOVE	NO	OVER-TOPPED, SUPPRESSED		K	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	
3095	GRAND FIR	10	REMOVE	NO	SMALL CROWN, DECAY		ĨR	M	SR
3096	BIGLEAF MAPLE	8	REMOVE	NO	POOR CONDITION		H	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	υ Ū
3097	BIGLEAF MAPLE	11	REMOVE	NO	POOR CONDITION			$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	Z
3357	NOBLE FIR	18	REMOVE	NO	SUSPECT INFESTATION		S		5 <u>-</u>
3358	PORT-ORFORD-CEDAR	14	REMOVE	NO	VERY POOR STRUCT.	\square	N	\square	г Г
3359	PORT-ORFORD-CEDAR	14	REMOVE	NO	VERY POOR STRUCT.			31	— М Х
3360	PORT-ORFORD-CEDAR	26	REMOVE	NO	VERY POOR STRUCT.		Ic	\Box	
3361	PORT-ORFORD-CEDAR	20	REMOVE	NO	VERY POOR STRUCT. DECAY IN JUNCTURE,		RI	SL	
3362	PLUM	20	REMOVE	NO	NOT MAINTAINED	NC S	A	-	
3415	DOUGLAS FIR	23	REMOVE	NO	POOR STRUCT.		I		
3416	DOUGLAS FIR	20	REMOVE	NO	POOR STRUCT.	E E H	ſ		
3417	DOUGLAS FIR	28	REMOVE	NO	TOPPED IN PAST, POOR STRUCT.	IR			
3418	DOUGLAS FIR	17	REMOVE	NO	CODOM STEMS, HISTORY OF FAILURE				
3419	DOUGLAS FIR	22	REMOVE	NO	BROKEN TOP, DECAY	ſt) J.:	Г. SN	AITH
2501		10	REMOVE	NO	INVASIVE SPECIES				- Post in the second
3501	SWEET CHERRY	10	REMOVE	NO	INVASIVE SPECIES				
3513	SWEET CHERRY	10,12	REMOVE	NO			STERED	PROFESS	24
3671	APPLE	8	REMOVE	NO		RF-	580	apol P	
3672	APPLE	8	REMOVE	NO	DECAY		r I I	GON	\square
3673	APPLE	8	REMOVE	NO	DECAY		PAR	15, 2003	, , ,
3674	APPLE	8	REMOVE	NO	DECAY	F V	(PIRFS)	FEE 12-31-	-1.3
3710	PLUM	2*10	PROTECT ADJACENT TREE	NO	PROTECTION FENCING AT PROPERTY LINE		.,		
	ORY STATISTICS		LEGEND					ERING RCES	7005
								ЦŽ	× 16 ×

GENERAL TR

TOTAL TREE INVENTORY:	41 ea
TOTAL TREES RETAINED:	4 ea
TOTAL TREES REMOVED:	37 ea
TREES REMOVED DUE TO CONDITION:	34 ea
TOTAL TREE CALIPER INCHES:	738 inches
TOTAL CALIPER INCHES RETAINED:	103 inches
TOTAL CALIPER INCHES REMOVED:	635 inches

SIGNIFICANT TREE STATISTICS

SIGNIFICANT TREE INVENTORY:	3 ea
SIGNIFICANT TREES RETAINED:	2 ea
SIGNIFICANT TREES REMOVED:	1 ea
SIGNIFICANT TREE CALIPER INCHES:	83 inches
SIGNIFICANT CALIPER INCHES RETAINED:	47 inches
SIGNIFICANT CALIPER INCHES REMOVED:	36 inches
SIGNIFICANT TREE CANOPY COVERAGE:	3,061 Sq. Ft.
SIGNIFICANT TREE CANOPY RETAINED:	2,043 Sq. Ft.
SIGNIFICANT TREE CANOPY RETENTION:	67%
PRESERVATION EASEMENT AREA PROVIDED:	612 Sq. Ft.



^

- EXISTING SIGNIFICANT DECIDUOUS TREE

- EXISTING SIGNIFICANT CONIFEROUS TREE - TREE POINT, TYPE, CALIPER AND DRIP LINE



 \mathbb{P}

- TREE TO BE REMOVED











Real-World Geotechnical Solutions Investigation • Design • Construction Support

November 19, 2013 GeoPacific Project No. 13-3040

John Wyland J.T. Smith Companies 5282 Meadows Road, Suite 171 Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035

Via e-mail with hard copies mailed

Copy: Andrew Tull, 3J Consulting, Inc.

Subject: LANDSLIDE HAZARD REVIEW THE SUMMIT SUBDIVISION - AKA ROSEMONT 2 NORTHEAST CORNER OF SUMMIT STREET AND S. GLORIA DRIVE INTERSECTION West Linn, OREGON

Reference: Geotechnical Engineering Report, The Summit Subdivision – aka Rosemont 2, Northeast Corner of Summit Street and S. Gloria Drive Intersection, West Linn, Oregon; GeoPacific Engineering, Inc. report dated July 26, 2013.

GeoPacific Engineering, Inc. (GeoPacific) previously conducted a geotechnical engineering study of the site, results of which are presented in the above-referenced geotechnical report. Subsequent to that report, the City has brought to our attention that a small area within the central portion of the site is mapped as a potential landslide hazard area. At your request, GeoPacific prepared this letter to address potential landslide hazard and slope stability issues on the site.

For the purpose of evaluating slope stability, we reviewed published geologic and hazard mapping, reviewed regional site topography and LIDAR images, and reviewed results of our previous geotechnical study which included a site reconnaissance and backhoe test pit explorations. Published regional geologic maps show a large ancient landslide just north of the site (Interpretive Map 29, Landslide Inventory Maps for the Canby, Oregon Quadrangle, Clackamas, Marion and Washington Counties; Burns, W.J., 2009).

The proposed home sites are situated on a gentle to moderate slope that inclines to the north and northeast at an average gradient of about 10 percent. LIDAR images reviewed for this study do not show any obvious geomorphic features of slope instability affecting the proposed home sites (Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries "SLIDO" website).

Reconnaissance observations indicate that slope geomorphology at the proposed home sites is generally smooth and uniform, consistent with stable slope conditions. No geomorphic evidence of recent slope instability (such as hummocky topography, benches or old scarps) was observed. Exploratory test pits performed during the previous geotechnical study of the site indicate that the area is underlain by stiff residual soils overlying weathered basalt (Beeson et al., 1989). These materials are characterized by moderate to high shear strength and a moderate to high resistance to slope instability on moderately steep

November 19, 2013 GeoPacific Project No. 13-3040

slopes. In our opinion, no special design or construction provisions are needed to address slope issues on the site.

It should be noted that this evaluation is based on limited observation of surficial features, the backhoe test pits performed, and review of available geologic literature. Review of regional stability, and analysis of slope stability using numerical modeling techniques, are outside the scope of this study.



We appreciate this opportunity to be of service.

Sincerely,

GEOPACIFIC ENGINEERING, INC.



EXPIRES: 06-30-20_15

Scott L. Hardman, G.E., P.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer





Scale: 1 inch = 20 feet						
20	10	0	10	20		

LEGEND

BOUNDARY LINE 1 FOOT CONTOUR 5 FOOT CONTOUR EXISTING TREES

RUNOFF FLOW DIRECTION

SITE SLOPE ANALYSIS TABLE

Minimum Slope	Maximum Slope	Area (sf)	%	Color
0%	15%	69,047	66.1	
16%	25%	22,348	21.4	
26%	35%	5,777	5.5	
36%	50%	3,897	3.7	
>50%		3,352	3.2	

