Project management team (PMT)

City of West Linn staff and MIG provided policy direction, evaluated the plan development process and timeline and facilitated community events and meetings.

Comprehensive Trails Plan website

Provided information to public throughout the plan development process.

Online public comment

Solicited public comments regarding trail alignments and other plan elements throughout plan development. Also allowed the public to draw, on a map, areas of specific need or constraint for a given trail.

Community intercept events

PMT was present at four different citywide events (West Linn Central Village, 6 pm on Saturday, December 5, 2009; Willamette Falls Drive, 10 am on Saturday, December 12, 2009; West Linn High School, 10:30 am on Sunday, December 13, 2009; and, December 19, 2009 at the West Linn Holiday Parade) to publicize the project, gather public input and promote the project website. During these events the PMT discussed the City's trail resources through informational displays and handouts while allowing the public to become familiar with the project, ask questions, and provide feedback.

Public workshops

Three public workshops (Feb. 16, 2010 at Cedar Oak Primary School – 33 attendees signed in; Feb. 22, 2010 at Willamette Primary School – 33 attendees signed in; and, Feb. 24 at Bolton Primary School – 34 attendees signed in) were used to present an overview of the plan development process and solicit comments about critical trail users and trail system elements. Mapping exercises allowed meeting participants to indicate their preferred routes and links between routes.

Neighborhood meetings

City staff met with residents at a number of neighborhood meetings to provide information about the project and upcoming events. The neighborhood meetings provided another opportunity for residents to learn about the Plan and provide input about their preferences.

Technical Working Group

The TWG was comprised of 13 members from the City's advisory boards, staff, Planning Commission, ODOT, US Forest Service and others. The TWG met at key project milestones to review and provide technical guidance on the Plan.

Other Published Notices

 Portland Tribune and Community Newspapers: Public Notice of Planning Commission hearing 6/2/2011

West Linn Tidings

City News: The West Linn Tidings, Dec 10, 2009

City's trails planning is underway

The city of West Linn's comprehensive trails planning got underway this month with the launch of a new Web site, http://www.westlinntrailsplan.org. Citizens can submit comments and ideas online starting Friday. In addition, a planning team will be on hand at the holiday parade Saturday morning on Willamette Falls Drive and at the brunch event Sunday morning at West Linn High School. The trails plan aims to take advantage of natural features and existing public lands while providing plans for future development of paved and unpaved trails catering to a variety of uses. A draft should be available by next fall. For more information, visit the Web site or call 503-557-4700.

What's Happening: The West Linn Tidings, Feb 18, 2010

WEST LINN TRAILS MASTER PLAN PUBLIC WORKSHOP #2 – 7 p.m., Willamette Primary School cafeteria, 1403 12th St.. Discuss the future of West Linn's trails with a look at the overview and mapping. Visit www.westlinntrailsplan.org.

Press Releases

o Fri., May 6, 2011: West Linn Trails Master Plan Adoption Process Moves Forward

Section Iss	sue CIE	Comments	TID	Response
	137	having difficultly locating on City's website.	T38, T39, T40	
	138	development	T6, T106	
	139	Only 1/2 of 1 percent of eligible voters involved in plan development is not "strong support" as claimed by City staff	Т6	
	140	Barrington Heights NA was not sought for input	T6	
	141	No notice of public workshops	T6	
	142	connectivity, concurrency)	Т7	
	143	Decisions should not account for regional desires	T10	
2000		Tualatin?	T18	
	145	Trails Plan is incomplete	T19	
to to	146	CDC Chapter 28 was modified by staff to existing version after approval by code development committee	T19	
inbut		Staff should devise a completely new approach to the selection of trails and the Plan's development	T22	
	148	Trail Plan is staff legacy project	T25	
Public	149	Appears that City has decided to complete a trails plan and will implement it regardless of public opinion	T30	
		information presented to date lacks a balanced perspective	T30	
	151	Residents are not accurately informed	T30, T6	
	152	Agenda did not properly reference Trails Master Plan as PLN-11- 01	T45	
	153	Specific amendments to Comprehensive Plan were not identified	T45	
	154	Lack of proper notice made it impossible to reference testimony and written submissions.	T45	
	155	Maps provided for review contained discrepancies; maps at meeting were inconsistent with cardboard maps on display	T45	
	156	According to the maps available for review, part of the Palomino Loop trail that were constructed with over 500 hours of Eagle Scout work will be eliminated. Why?	T45	
	157	Some emails submitted for the June 15 meeting did not make it into the record	T45	
		Support Trails Plan	T52	
	133	Many runners and walkers use the trails; these people express their support through usage	T52	
	160	Most opponents at June 15, 2011 meeting are against trails in their backyards; these people do not represent the bulk of West Linn citizens	T52	
	161	Concerns regarding exact location and design will be handled in the future	T52	

Section	Issue	CID	Comments	TID	Response
		162	Council	T52	
		163	The Parks Department has done an excellent job in planning and developing trails and parks	T52	
	60	164	West Linn Trails Survey December 2009		
	ces		Students		
	pro	100	Purpose of survey was to assess the opinions of residents having parks and trails near their homes		
	The state of the s		104 surveys completed	TEA	
	inp	108	86 percent satisfied with parks and trails in West Linn/8 percent dissatisfied	T54	
	Public		16 percent use trails near their home nearly every day/25 percent use trails once a week		
	<u> </u>	170	54 percent use trails for exercise/44 percent to be outside		
		1/1	What is the correct procedure to establish standing in this legislative procedure?	T55	
			Staff informed me that parties who had not testified on June 15,		
i			would not have standing unless they attend the meeting on	T55	
	_		June 22; this seems to be an unfair hardship		
þ			I was of the understanding that those who submitted testimony		
			sheets would have standing if they did not have an opportunity	T55	
	-		to speak; can the City please clarify?		
			Staff continues to assert that the public wants this but where		
			are those citizens and why haven't they attended the meetings or written letters. Do the few people that support the plan	T59	
	-14		know of its cost?		
	-	1	Trails Master Plan has been a priority for the City Council since		
			Parks SDC created in May 2009	T60	
			The City Council reiterated that support by awarding a contract		
			to MIG for this Trails Plan in October 2009	T60	
			To ensure that the City Council receives the best product for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			final consideration I recommend staff request the Planning		
	- 7		Commission receive all public testimony and provide input to		
			staff regarding issues for further consideration. Following plan		
	S		revisions addressing these issues, staff will return to the	T60	
	process		Planning Commission in Fall 2011 with a proposed Trails Master	100	
	0		Plan. The Planning Commission will then make a		
	5		recommendation to the City Council and the City Council would		
4	1		nold a public hearing later in Fall 2011.	1	
	Input		Confusion about a trail through the White Oak Savanna and the		
	=		Dak Savanna Trail as opposed during the June 15, 2011,	Т62	
	2		Planning Commission hearing	102	
- 4	Public		he trail through the White Oak Savanna will be a small trail		
d	1	179		T62	
		a	pproximately 4-feet wide and will be made of natural materials	44,000,000	

Section	Issue	CID	Comments	TID	Response
		180	The trail will be ADA accessible and will be made of natural	T62	
-			materials	102	
			Completion of this trail segment in the White Oak Savanna is a		
		181	stipulation of Metro's funding for this project. Metro will not	T62	
			release the full funds until this trail is complete.		
		182	Have heard much testimony against the Plan	T63, T65	
		183	Some comments should make Parks Department reconsider a few specific items; this is why the City offers the opportunity for public comment		
		184	I disagree with the viewpoints expressing that the entire Plan be scrapped until an undisclosed time in the future	T63	
			Parks Department worked hard to incorporate citizens' wants, desires and needs	Т63	
			Planning Commission should listen to the citizens	T65	
		187	Wants public involved during the construction phase	T68	
			City's approach is one-dimensional and does not consider	T69	
			factors other than recreation		
			opposed to trails that impact livability	T70	
		190	Con-III for all and a first to the second se		
		131	Small fraction of public has been involved in the development of the Trails Plan	Т77	
		$\overline{}$	Trails Plan has adverse effects	T78	
		153	Decision are being made by people with no stake in West Linn's future	T78	
			Plans should be assessed for social impact	T80	
			Recommend to deny the Plan	T81	
		196	Staff has a personal agenda	T82, T95	
		197	there has been no demonstration of significant public support	T83	
		136	during the development of the plan, public input that didn't meet staff desires was excluded	T83	
	=	199	has heard a stated preference against riverfront trails	T84	
			No notice from City	T87	
			facts presented are unrealistic and biased	T89	
			Fields Bridge Park is not what City proposed to residents	T94	
	=		Residents have been misled and deceived by staff	T95	
			plan is totally flawed	T97, T73	
			nave the trails sites been studied in person?	T97	
	_	206	City cannot guarantee the Plan will succeed	_T98	
-	_ ر		City needs to prioritize needs and daylight hidden agendas	T98	
	-		olar trail is politically advantageous	T98	
	L	203 r	standards for the decision to approve or deny this Plan are not elated to the Plan	T98	
			Vho runs West Linn?	T100	
		211 n	eople in favor of plan are outnumbered 100 to 1 but that is the lature of a new plan; people only get excited if they are directly	T102	
			ffected	S: 990 -27	

Section I	Issue		Comments	TID	Response
			Maps of existing and proposed trails is a bit misleading. Many		
		212	of the new routes are along City streets with existing sidewalks	74.00	
		212	and bike lanes; some of these routes will only require signage to	T102	
			be considered a trail		
		213	I recommend passage of this plan	T102	
			Community Attitudes Survey 2010 Campbell DeLong Resources,		
		1 ZI4 I	Inc.		
			Of survey respondents, majority favor street improvements	T103	
	- 1		followed by trails, pathways and sidewalks		
			Visited City Hall on June 24 to follow up on request to review		
			public records and was informed that staff had not even	7101	
	E = 0			T104	
			bothered to look for the information		
			Shouldn't the documentation that verifies the removal of		
M.			Palomino Loop Trail be included in the proposed Trails Master	T104	
		_	Plan?		
			Request for information regarding the exclusion of the Palomino		
		218	Loop Trail from the inventory of current trails in the Trails	T105	
			Master Plan		
		210	Staff informed me that I would be required to submit a public	and a second desiration of	
		219	records request at a minimum of \$9 to find out why	T105	
	ľ	-	This is an unfair burden on one person when the information is		
	25	220	benefit to the entire community	T105	
	es		Oregon Attorney General recommends waiving fees for		
	00			T105	
	proce		nformation that benefits the general population		
	<u></u>	222	Planning Director agreed that this request was reasonable and	T105	
	input		promised to provide it but did not		
	듣		visited City Hall to meet with Planning Director but was told he		
	-		vas unavailable only to witness him leave City Hall and go to	T105	
19	Public		leep in his car		
15	2	224 V	Vhat can citizens do when City officials mislead them and delay	T4.05	
	<u> </u>	t	heir participation in the public process?	T105	
		223 P	lanner was terribly confused about the issue of standing	T105	
		- 1	am deeply concerned that staff who are paid with taxpayer		
		- 1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		226 m	noney would provide information that would cause a citizen to	T105	
		Ь	elieve they had no standing in an issue facing all our citizens		
	-	v	/hy would the Planner say that someone does not have		
		100	randing, when in fact they filled out a community comment		
	- 14	227	orm on the topic and thereby have followed the letter of the	T105	
		lia.	w in order to have standing?		
		//0	an find no evidence that City was reimbursed for private use of	T106	
	<u> </u>		nis public land		
			ow was this public trail converted to private use without a	T106	
	L		ublic process?		
		230 N	ould it be prudent to request an audit of public property to	T100	
		e	nsure these losses do not continue?	T106	
		221 PI	rivate notice for June 22, 2011 Planning Commission meeting		
			n Trails Plan		
		ct	ates that public testimony from June 15, 2011 nearly stopped		
		232 th	e Master Trails Plan	T107	
	1	ct	ates that 16 spoke against Plan all ending with applause from		
			e audience		
		Lui	e addience		

Section	Issue	CID	Comments	TID	Response
		234	There seems to be a force trying to shove this Trails Plan down residents' throats even though they don't want it	T108	
			Were not made aware of plans directly from City. Only became		
		235	aware of project after neighbor received notice that City	T110	
		<u></u>	approved an ODOT power plant in the I-205 right-of-way		
			When we researched the project and discovered the trail being		
		236	planned on this right-of-way we began to seek meetings with the City's Parks Department	T110	
	ess	237	It is difficult to get information from the City about these proposed projects	T110	
	process	238	I feel it is unfair for the Parks Director to assert that the City has reached out to our organization	T110	
			The Trails Plan was developed with a flawed process and is over-		
	input	239		T110	
	3.		reaching, insufficiently supported and invalid		
	Public		Please add to residents ability to protect and enjoy the		
	20	240	Willamette River and create a trails system worthy of your	T111	
	2		citizens.		
			If a property owner has prior knowledge of a trail system before		
			they purchase property, they cannot have a voice in opposition		
		241	to the plan. Also, when a person purchases property next to	T112	
- 1			publicly owned land, they are accepting whatever changes may		
			occur to the public property		
$\overline{}$			Can this issue be brought to a vote in the next election?		
1		1	I		
		242	Because this plan will affect all of West Linn it is fair to give an	T112	
	(L		opportunity for all residents to make their opinions count.		
		243	Do not shelve the plan, refine it to address those comments	Section 1925	
		243	warranting merit	T112	
			I felt the behavior of those testifying at the June 22 Planning		
		244	~	T114	
		(Commission meeting was appalling, showing little civility		
1		ľ	City staff should not be subjected to insults and should not have		
		245	to endure having their motives questioned. Staff works hard to	T114	
			do a good job for the residents of West Linn		
		1	would certainly not want to be an employee of West Linn if		
		246		T114	
		lt	peing treated in such an offensive manner was part of the job		

Section	Issue	CID	Comments	TID	Response
		247	Thanks to Commissioner Horsey for voicing support for the staff near the end of the meeting	T114	
		248	Trails Plan should be passed on to the City Council for approval with few changes. The adopted plan should be in the best interest of all citizens.	T114	
		249	residences as presented by teacher at West Linn High School	T115	
		250	My perception of both hearings was that of a hostile and intimidating nature towards the Planning Commission and Parks Department	T116	
		251	Overall, opinions seemed shortsighted, self serving, and displayed an interesting sense of entitlement rights among homeowners	T116	
	S	252	I ask that staff and the Planning Commission sift through these arguments to pick the ones with merit to scrutinize for further study and research	T116	
	input pro		If property owners intend to pursue a vacation of the public access on Calaroga Ct., I would like to see an open public process so that all nearby residents can discuss and give input	T116	
	i c	254	The pattern of entitlement is not uncommon; we've seen people who visit the San Juan Islands in Washington fall in love with the area and purchase property only to then oppose all new tourism or development.	T116	
		255	People who do not live in West Linn refer to the City as West Berlin to describe the attitudes of West Linn residents	T116	